

Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Mark Grossan reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 8:39 AM

Reply-To: fireworkee@aol.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, fireworkee@aol.com

From:

Mark Grossan fireworkee@aol.com 802 N. Martel Ave Los Angeles CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xI zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Mark Grossan fireworkee@aol.com 802 N. Martel Ave Los Angeles CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from wayne marmorstein reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 12:21 PM

Reply-To: waymarr@earthlink.net

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, waymarr@earthlink.net

From:

wayne marmorstein
waymarr@earthlink.net
1861 North Crescent Heights Blvd
los angeles
CA
90069

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xI zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

ADDITIONAL CONCERNS

The EIR report is very troublesome to me. This project is way to large for this neighborhood. The EIR is full of FALSE numbers and facts. The rack for 900 bicycles is ridiculous. No one rides bikes on Sunset. Project will create too much noise!

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

wayne marmorstein waymarr@earthlink.net 1861 North Crescent Heights Blvd los angeles CA 90069



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Karen Klein reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 1:16 PM

Reply-To: kkphonehome@gmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, kkphonehome@gmail.com

From:

Karen Klein kkphonehome@gmail.com 1414 N. Orange Grove Ave Los Angeles CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xI zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- · Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Karen Klein kkphonehome@gmail.com 1414 N. Orange Grove Ave Los Angeles CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Victor Zolfo reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 1:16 PM

Reply-To: victorjzolfo@mac.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, victorizolfo@mac.com

From:

Victor Zolfo victorjzolfo@mac.com 1338 N. Orange Grove Avenue West Hollywood CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xI zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- · Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Victor Zolfo victorjzolfo@mac.com 1338 N. Orange Grove Avenue West Hollywood CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Alex de Cordoba reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 1:24 PM

Reply-To: lakersalex@yahoo.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, lakersalex@yahoo.com

From:

Alex de Cordoba lakersalex@yahoo.com 1424 N Orange Grove Ave Los Angeles California 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Alex de Cordoba lakersalex@yahoo.com 1424 N Orange Grove Ave Los Angeles California 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Michael Cinquemani reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 1:26 PM

Reply-To: michaeljcinquemani@gmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, michaeljcinguemani@gmail.com

From:

Michael Cinquemani michaeljcinquemani@gmail.com 1441 N. Ogden Dr. Los Angeles CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Michael Cinquemani michaeljcinquemani@gmail.com 1441 N. Ogden Dr. Los Angeles CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Scott Messer reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 1:27 PM

Reply-To: scott.m.messer@gmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, scott.m.messer@gmail.com

From:

Scott Messer scott.m.messer@gmail.com 1338 N. Ogden Drive Los Angeles CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- · Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Scott Messer scott.m.messer@gmail.com 1338 N. Ogden Drive Los Angeles CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Lily Mariye and Jim Oppenheim reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 1:32 PM

Reply-To: wingleburt@yahoo.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envrevew@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, wingleburt@yahoo.com

From:

Lily Mariye and Jim Oppenheim wingleburt@yahoo.com
1413 N. Orange Grove Ave.
Los Angeles
California
90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

ADDITIONAL CONCERNS

We live in nearby Spaulding Square, a historical preservation neighborhood. We moved here because we indeed want to preserve the history of Los Angeles. This development will overtax our already stretched-to-the-limit police and fire departments.

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Lily Mariye and Jim Oppenheim wingleburt@yahoo.com 1413 N. Orange Grove Ave. Los Angeles California 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Jonah Schwartz reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 1:32 PM

Reply-To: livefreeordiii@gmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, livefreeordiii@gmail.com

From:

Jonah Schwartz livefreeordiii@gmail.com 1521 N Sierra Bonita Ave Los Angeles California 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand.

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

ADDITIONAL CONCERNS

Our infrastructure is overburdened as t is and also the police and LAFD response times will be slowed and equipment is not adequate and will be severly taxed by this project.

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Jonah Schwartz livefreeordiii@gmail.com 1521 N Sierra Bonita Ave Los Angeles California 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Michael Moran reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 1:35 PM

Reply-To: glockjock44cal@gmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, glockjock44cal@gmail.com

From:

Michael Moran glockjock44cal@gmail.com 1428 N Orange Grove Avenue Los Angeles California 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xI zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

ADDITIONAL CONCERNS

Mixed use developments don't work. Take a look at how many similar buildings in the area are entirely vacant at ground level. Do not rubber stamp this through. Ask questions FIRST.

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Michael Moran glockjock44cal@gmail.com 1428 N Orange Grove Avenue Los Angeles California 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Kristen Stabile reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 1:40 PM

Reply-To: kmstabile@gmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, kmstabile@gmail.com

From:

Kristen Stabile kmstabile@gmail.com 1335 N. Genesee Ave. Los Angeles CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xI zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Kristen Stabile kmstabile@gmail.com 1335 N. Genesee Ave. Los Angeles CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Nathalie samanon reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 1:42 PM

Reply-To: Nathalie.samanon@gmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, Nathalie.samanon@gmail.com

From:

Nathalie samanon
Nathalie.samanon@gmail.com
1444 north orange grove avenue
Los angeles
Ca
90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Nathalie samanon
Nathalie.samanon@gmail.com
1444 north orange grove avenue
Los angeles
Ca
90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Jeffrey Hersh reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 1:44 PM

Reply-To: Jhersh01@ca.rr.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, Jhersh01@ca.rr.com

From:

Jeffrey Hersh
Jhersh01@ca.rr.com
1344 North Spaulding Avenue
Los Angeles
CA
90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Jeffrey Hersh Jhersh01@ca.rr.com 1344 North Spaulding Avenue Los Angeles CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Paul Wang reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 1:50 PM

Reply-To: Paulthomaswang@sbcglobal.net

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, Paulthomaswang@sbcglobal.net

From:

Paul Wang
Paulthomaswang@sbcglobal.net
1351 crescent hts bl 118
Weho
Ca
90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Paul Wang
Paulthomaswang@sbcglobal.net
1351 crescent hts bl 118
Weho
Ca
90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Kathe Osborne reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 1:50 PM

Reply-To: ko images@yahoo.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, ko_images@yahoo.com

From:

Kathe Osborne ko_images@yahoo.com Genesee Ave. Los Angeles CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Kathe Osborne ko_images@yahoo.com Genesee Ave. Los Angeles CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Halsted Sullivan reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:01 PM

Reply-To: HalstedSullivan@gmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, HalstedSullivan@gmail.com

From:

Halsted Sullivan
HalstedSullivan@gmail.com
1541 N Sierra Bonita Ave
Los Angeles
CA
90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Halsted Sullivan
HalstedSullivan@gmail.com
1541 N Sierra Bonita Ave
Los Angeles
CA
90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Amy Cotteleer reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:01 PM

Reply-To: amycotteleer@yahoo.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, amycotteleer@yahoo.com

From:

Amy Cotteleer amycotteleer@yahoo.com 1610 N. Orange Grove Los Angeles CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Amy Cotteleer amycotteleer@yahoo.com 1610 N. Orange Grove Los Angeles CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Robert ONeill reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:03 PM

Reply-To: robertdoneill@gmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, robertdoneill@gmail.com

From:

Robert ONeill robertdoneill@gmail.com 1545 N Stanley Avenue Los Angeles CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Robert ONeill robertdoneill@gmail.com 1545 N Stanley Avenue Los Angeles CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from marcia brandwynne reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:07 PM

Reply-To: mbrandwynne@gmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, mbrandwynne@gmail.com

From:

marcia brandwynne mbrandwynne@gmail.com 1400 N Orange Grove Ave los angeles ca 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xI zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Thank you, yours sincerely,

marcia brandwynne mbrandwynne@gmail.com 1400 N Orange Grove Ave los angeles ca 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from adriana reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:09 PM

Reply-To: arosian100@sbcglobal.net

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, arosian100@sbcglobal.net

From: adriana

arosian100@sbcglobal.net

7510 sunset blvd.

los angeles

ca

90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

TThe EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

ADDITIONAL CONCERNS

Hollywood does not have the infrastructure to support the many, many major developments proposed or already taking place. Please consider the long term and long range impact and save our city from developers who are only concerned with profits.

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

adriana arosian100@sbcglobal.net 7510 sunset blvd. los angeles ca 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Florian Schaugg reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:09 PM

Reply-To: schaugg@yahoo.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, schaugg@yahoo.com

From:

Florian Schaugg schaugg@yahoo.com 1416 Havenhurst Dr. Los Angeles California 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Tracy Broaddus reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:09 PM

Reply-To: tbbroaddus@mac.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, tbbroaddus@mac.com

From:

Tracy Broaddus
tbbroaddus@mac.com
1607 N. Sierra Bonita Avenue
Los Angeles
CA
90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Tracy Broaddus
tbbroaddus@mac.com
1607 N. Sierra Bonita Avenue
Los Angeles
CA
90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from maria veronica reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:10 PM

Reply-To: swedishmaria@hotmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, swedishmaria@hotmail.com

From:

maria veronica swedishmaria@hotmail.com 1730 camino palmero st #3 los angeles ca 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xI zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

TThe EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Thank you, yours sincerely,

maria veronica swedishmaria@hotmail.com 1730 camino palmero st #3 los angeles ca 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Jeanne Manos reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:12 PM

Reply-To: JeanManos@aol.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, JeanManos@aol.com

From:

Jeanne Manos JeanManos@aol.com 1636 N Vista Street Los Angeles CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xI zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

ADDITIONAL CONCERNS

In considering the impact of this proposed project, I ask that the EIR take into consideration all currently approved & proposed development projects in the area.

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Jeanne Manos JeanManos@aol.com 1636 N Vista Street Los Angeles CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Toni Kotite reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:12 PM

Reply-To: Toniduck@yahoo.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, Toniduck@yahoo.com

From:

Toni Kotite
Toniduck@yahoo.com
1637 N Orange Grove Avenue
Los Angeles
Ca
90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- · Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

ADDITIONAL CONCERNS

A terrible idea to put a skyscraper in this historic location. Please do not allow it- the congestion will be horrible. Thank you

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Toni Kotite
Toniduck@yahoo.com
1637 N Orange Grove Avenue
Los Angeles
Ca
90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Lara Cody reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:16 PM

Reply-To: frelapub@yahoo.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, frelapub@yahoo.com

From:

Lara Cody frelapub@yahoo.com 1533 N Orange Grove Ave Los Angeles ca 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xI zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Lara Cody frelapub@yahoo.com 1533 N Orange Grove Ave Los Angeles ca 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from melanie mayron reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:17 PM

Reply-To: maymay@aol.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, maymay@aol.com

From:

melanie mayron maymay@aol.com 1435 n. ogden dr. los angeles CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

ADDITIONAL CONCERNS

Please DO NOT BUILD this monstrosity in our neighborhood. The shadow is will cast over the quiet residential area here will be enormous. Build it somewhere else. There is NOTHING like it anywhere near here. Thanks for your time.

Melanie Mayron

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

melanie mayron maymay@aol.com 1435 n. ogden dr. los angeles CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from malena hougen reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:17 PM

Reply-To: malena.hougen@gmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, malena.hougen@gmail.com

From:

malena hougen malena.hougen@gmail.com 1623 Courtney Ave Los Angeles, CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xI zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

TThe EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

malena hougen malena.hougen@gmail.com 1623 Courtney Ave Los Angeles, CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Kathy evans fogel reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:19 PM

Reply-To: M.fog@ca.rr.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, M.fog@ca.rr.com

From:

Kathy evans fogel M.fog@ca.rr.com 1328 n. Orange grove ave Los angeles Calif 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

ADDITIONAL CONCERNS
Please save Spauling Square

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Kathy evans fogel
M.fog@ca.rr.com
1328 n. Orange grove ave
Los angeles
Calif
90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from marilyn lawenda reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:23 PM

Reply-To: lawenda@aol.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, lawenda@aol.com

From:

marilyn lawenda lawenda@aol.com 1415 n ogden dr los angeles ca 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

marilyn lawenda lawenda@aol.com 1415 n ogden dr los angeles ca 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from FRANCINE MATARAZZO reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:30 PM

Reply-To: francinematarazzo@gmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, francinematarazzo@gmail.com

From:

FRANCINE MATARAZZO francinematarazzo@gmail.com 1617 North Courtney Avenue Los Angeles California 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

FRANCINE MATARAZZO francinematarazzo@gmail.com 1617 North Courtney Avenue Los Angeles California 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Casey Kriley reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:33 PM

Reply-To: casey.kriley@magicalelves.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, casey.kriley@magicalelves.com

From:

Casey Kriley
casey.kriley@magicalelves.com
1640 N. Stanley Ave.
Los Angeles
CA
90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xI zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

ADDITIONAL CONCERNS

I bought my small bungalow house over seven years ago in the historic Sunset Square area because building of this size did not exist. And I would really appreciate it if it could stay that way.

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Casey Kriley casey.kriley@magicalelves.com 1640 N. Stanley Ave. Los Angeles CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Erik McDowell reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:34 PM

Reply-To: emcdowell@manatt.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, emcdowell@manatt.com

From:

Erik McDowell emcdowell@manatt.com 1557 N. Curson Ave. Los Angeles CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- · Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Erik McDowell emcdowell@manatt.com 1557 N. Curson Ave. Los Angeles CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Robyn Weisman reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:38 PM

Reply-To: rweisman@gmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, rweisman@gmail.com

From:

Robyn Weisman rweisman@gmail.com 1637 N. Sierra Bonita Ave. Los Angeles CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xI zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

ADDITIONAL CONCERNS

We don't have the infrastructure to handle what we currently have, let alone manage these outsized developments.

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Robyn Weisman rweisman@gmail.com 1637 N. Sierra Bonita Ave. Los Angeles CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Glenn Williamson reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:44 PM

Reply-To: glenn.williamson@gmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, glenn.williamson@gmail.com

From:

Glenn Williamson glenn.williamson@gmail.com 1351 N. Genesee Ave. Los Angeles California 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- · Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

ADDITIONAL CONCERNS

Are you kidding me? This proposed complex completely ignores the proportions of the historic buildings in the neighborhood. I'm not anti-development, but this ignores the fabric of the area.

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Glenn Williamson glenn.williamson@gmail.com 1351 N. Genesee Ave. Los Angeles California 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Rabbi Norbert Weinberg reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:44 PM

Reply-To: rabbiweinberg@htbel.org

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, rabbiweinberg@htbel.org

From:

Rabbi Norbert Weinberg rabbiweinberg@htbel.org 1317 N Crescent Heights Los Angeles CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from kelbe bensinger reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:47 PM

Reply-To: kelbeb@aol.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, kelbeb@aol.com

From:

kelbe bensinger kelbeb@aol.com 1418 N Genesee Ave LA California 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

TThe EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Being a former New York City resident, My objection is to the height of the Tower along with the massive added traffic to an already problematic.

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

kelbe bensinger kelbeb@aol.com 1418 N Genesee Ave LA California 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Jason Reilly reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 2:49 PM

Reply-To: jasonpreilly@gmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, jasonpreilly@gmail.com

From:
Jason Reilly
jasonpreilly@gmail.com
1632 N. Orange Grove Ave
Los Angeles
CA

To:

90046

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Brian Wadley reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 3:11 PM

Reply-To: fatwadks@hotmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.enviewe@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, fatwadks@hotmail.com

From:

Brian Wadley fatwadks@hotmail.com 7974 1/2 melrose Los Angeles ca 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Brian Wadley fatwadks@hotmail.com 7974 1/2 melrose Los Angeles ca 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Julia Rask reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 3:22 PM

Reply-To: Jmrask@mac.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envrevew@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, Jmrask@mac.com

From:

Julia Rask Jmrask@mac.com 1328 North Ogden Drive Los Angeles CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

We have had to deal with numerous new construction projects and even though we complain it doesn't seem like anyone in the Planning Dept. listens or cares. Please uphold the neighborhood and DO NOT let this big development be built!

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Julia Rask Jmrask@mac.com 1328 North Ogden Drive Los Angeles CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Daniel Mitchell reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 3:25 PM

Reply-To: wehodan@hotmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, wehodan@hotmail.com

From:

Daniel Mitchell wehodan@hotmail.com 1325 North Ogden Drive West Hollywood CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xI zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

To whom it may concern,

This is exhausting. Every week a new batch of greedy developers sweep into neighborhood blinded by dollar signs. We have to live here. We're sick of the traffic, the gridlock, the noise, and our history being destroyed!!

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Daniel Mitchell wehodan@hotmail.com 1325 North Ogden Drive West Hollywood CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Jeffery Jon Masino reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 3:36 PM

Reply-To: jmasino28@gmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, jmasino28@gmail.com

From:

Jeffery Jon Masino jmasino28@gmail.com 1566 Courtney Avenue Los Angeles CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xI zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Dear Mr. LaBonge and Colleagues,

In my 15 years as a resident, traffic at this intersection has gotten much worse and the impact on emergency response times, as well as "quality of life" issues cannot be overstated. Thank you.

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Jeffery Jon Masino jmasino28@gmail.com 1566 Courtney Avenue Los Angeles CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from Selene Ting reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 3:43 PM

Reply-To: selene.ting@gmail.com

To: jonathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envreview@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, selene.ting@gmail.com

From:

Selene Ting selene.ting@gmail.com 1400 N. Genesee Ave Los Angeles CA 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xl zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

You cannot keep building bigger without negatively impacting everything around it. The developers are trying to exploit every possible loophole in the name of greed. They couldn't care less about the quality of life for nearby residents.

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

Selene Ting selene.ting@gmail.com 1400 N. Genesee Ave Los Angeles CA 90046



Objection to 8150 Sunset Blvd. from renee lamkiae reference City Case No. ENV-2013-2552-EIR

1 message

Save Sunset Boulevard <info@savesunsetboulevard.com>

Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 3:55 PM

Reply-To: reneelamkie@sbcglobal.net

To: ionathan.brand@lacity.org, planning.envrevew@lacity.org, tom.labonge@lacity.org

Cc: info@savesunsetboulevard.com, reneelamkie@sbcglobal.net

From:

renee lamkiae reneelamkie@sbcglobal.net 1408 north orange grove avenue west hollhywood ca 90046 90046

To:

The City Planning Department, Councilman Tom LaBonge, and Jonathan Brand,

I strongly object to the oversized and completely out of context development being proposed for the south-west junction of Sunset & Crescent Heights on these grounds;

This EIR makes reference to general conformance, yet general conformance is not the standard on which a project may be approved. In the EIR there is no serious respect given to the historical context for a development of this scale, mass or design. This project stands in direct conflict to the Hollywood General Plan and CEQA.

HEIGHT

The land use detailed in the 8150 Sunset Blvd EIR is simply too excessive. At 216 feet this will be the tallest skyscraper on the historically low rise Sunset Strip.

8150 is applying for a permit to build condominiums. I ask that the city of Los Angeles reject this permit because on the way in which the approval process for rentals and condominiums differs. The EIR Represents the project as 16 stories when it is actually over a realistic 20 stories at 10 feet per story. I believe this to be an intentional misrepresentation to confuse the public, and because of this I demand a new EIR that correctly states the height without this misleading and incorrect figure of just sixteen stories.

HISTORICAL RESOURCE DISTRICT

The Chateau Marmont and the surrounding French Chateau style aparment buildings represent some of Los Angeles's premier historical treasures, so to tower over them with a massive skyscraper will be a blight upon the area and a tragedy of urban design that cannot be undone. The EIR does not accurately represent the destruction to the neighborhood that this project will cause. The current design will have a disastrous effect on the historical nature of the immediate surroundings by:

- · Demolishing the Lytton Building.
- The EIR fails to correctly address the asthetic and financial effects of blocking the light and views of the historic Chateau Marmont, the Colonial House, Andalusia, Mi Casa, Chateau Marmont, The Granville, and The Savoyand countless hillside residents.
- The shading the Chateau Marmont, Colonial House, and The Andalusia will completely destroy one of the most open and spacious areas of Hollywood's original residential district.

"Protect lower density housing from the scattered intrusion of apartments" and states that...

"Transition building heights should be imposed, especially in the medium density housing designated areas where the designation is immediately adjacent to properties designated Low Medium 1 or more restrictive"

This project shares a property line with a 2 story residential building and I believe it is not consistent with the general plan. Specifically, the project immediately borders R4B zoned buildings on Havenhurst, R4a on Crescent Heights, and R2 – 1xI zoning across Havenhurst.

TRAFFIC

The EIR falsly claims that 5,296 daily trips are made by the present shopping mall and bases its traffic impact by subtracting this number. At present, the real number is approximately 1500 daily trips that are made by the shopping mall, and at its peak occupancy it was still only around 3000. The EIR says that it will only increase traffic by 1077 cars by building this development, but the real and honest number for 240 apartments containing at least 480 new residents, the restaurants, retails spaces, offices and gym employees, deliveries and the sheer number of the customers those business will need to cover their rent, the real figure will be closer to 8-10,000 new vehicle movements per day at this already abysmally overcrowded intersection.

I demand that the city of Los Angeles independently reassess the real figures based on actual traffic rather than the ridiculous disingenuous 'trips per day' guestimate made in the EIR.

Laurel Canyon Blvd (between Sunset & Ventura) is one of the most heavily congested corridors, as identified in the CGPF analysis of 2010 population and employment projections. (City of Los Angeles General Plan, Transportation, Chapter 2)

The proposal to take out a turning lane on the intersection of Laurel and Sunset will worsen traffic and slow emergency response times. This application must be denied.

The lead agency, the City of LA Planning Department, must consider whether this project will cause unsafe conditions for roadway users, residents and tax payers to avoid more expensive and disastrous lawsuits by properly determining the consequences of:

- The developers goal of pushing 900 new bicyclists into totally unsafe streets.
- Greater speed differentials between bicycles, pedestrians and motor vehicles in one of the most congested and dangerous junctions in Hollywood.
- · Increased danger to bicyclists and pedestrians in "vehicle conflict areas"
- The resulting inadequate emergency access to all hillside residents and neighbors as a result of this new and unmanageable congestion.

PARKING

The EIR does not satisfactorily address the fact that there are nothing like enough parking spaces for the 480+ residents, 100+ retail, restaurant and gym employees along the thousands of clients they will need to attract to cover their rent. This will mean thousands of cars a day circling one of the most congested areas in Hollywood searching for parking, adding massive amounts of pollution, destroying our quality of life, and making it impossible for residents and emergency vehicles to have speedy access to the hillside neighborhoods.

THE "CONDO" LOOPHOLE

Townscape, the developers, are now applying to the city for condo parcel numbers. This means the units will be considered "individual homes" and are not subject to city rent increase guidelines. This is clearly a away to get around city rent guidelines, and to turn the unenforced "low income housing" benefits they are asking for into yet more easy to flip profit. I also ask that these loopholes are closed.

LOSS OF SERVICE

Good lord....what a monstrous edifice in our pretty area...It is a horrid mountain.

These are some of my concerns, and I would like to know that City Hall will address them.

Thank you, yours sincerely,

renee lamkiae reneelamkie@sbcglobal.net 1408 north orange grove avenue west hollhywood ca 90046 90046